
A DNA-CENTRIC MECHANISM FOR PROTEIN TARGETING IN 6mA METHYLATION

Li Yang, Dongbo Wang*

School of Information Management, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

ABSTRACT

How DNA-binding proteins locate specific genomic targets remains a central challenge in molecular biology. Traditional protein-centric approaches, which rely on wet-lab experiments and visualization techniques, often lack genome-wide resolution and fail to capture physiological dynamics in living cells. Here, we introduce a DNA-centric strategy that leverages in vivo N6-methyladenine (6mA) data to decode the logic of protein–DNA recognition. By integrating linguistically inspired modeling with machine learning, we reveal two distinct search modes: a protein-driven diffusion mechanism and a DNA sequence-driven mechanism, wherein specific motifs function as protein traps. We further reconstruct high-resolution interaction landscapes at the level of individual sequences and trace the evolutionary trajectories of recognition motifs across species. This framework addresses fundamental limitations of protein-centered approaches and positions DNA itself as an intrinsic reporter of protein-binding behavior.

1 Introduction

DNA is not only the blueprint of life, but it may also harbor latent clues about the behavior of DNA-binding proteins. Decoding these clues could significantly enhance our understanding of the mechanisms that govern life.

6mA is an important epigenetic modification that regulates gene expression, catalyzed by DNA N6-methyladenine methyltransferases (6mA MTases) without the need for ATP. 6mA MTases are divided into two types: 6mA MTases in the restriction-modification system ("R-M system")[1] and the "orphan" 6mA MTases [2]. Moreover, 6mA MTases are site-specific enzymes that recognize a variety of motifs, including palindromic sequences, non-palindromic short sequences, and bipartite sequences[3, 4]. Studying the recognition mechanism between 6mA MTases and DNA has broader significance for understanding the recognition mechanisms of DNA-binding proteins. However, current studies primarily rely on wet-lab experiments to infer the search behavior of 6mA MTases[5, 6, 7], or on static analyses of a limited number of crystal structures[8, 9], both of which suffer from limited representativeness and generalizability. The DNA sequences used in these experiments are typically short, and the sample size remains small, making it difficult to systematically reveal the recognition patterns of

6mA MTases at the genomic scale and their dependencies on sequence context. Moreover, current research is mainly based on in vitro experiments, which fail to accurately simulate the chromatin environment and the real physiological state within living cells, and therefore lack direct evidence from live-cell studies. Although optical imaging techniques facilitate the visualization of the dynamic behavior of DNA-binding proteins in live cells, they remain constrained by resolution, imaging time windows, and protein labeling limitations[10, 11]. Notably, single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing provides in vivo DNA methylation data, opening new avenues for studying recognition mechanisms. Building on this, we propose a DNA-centric analytical framework designed to overcome the inherent limitations of traditional protein-centric approaches. By leveraging methylation footprints derived from living cells as intrinsic readouts of protein–DNA interactions, we decode the underlying logic of protein recognition from the DNA's own perspective.

In our previous work, we established that mapping DNA sequences to symbolic linguistic representations preserves methylation signals and enables semantic-level analysis of DNA information[12]. This approach not only sheds light on potential storage mechanisms encoded in DNA, but also offers a novel lens for examining how DNA-binding proteins interpret genomic context. Motivated by the limi-

*Email: db.wang@njau.edu.cn (D. Wang)

tations of conventional protein-centric methods—such as restricted visualization resolution and artificial in vitro conditions—we adopt this DNA-centric paradigm to explore protein–DNA interactions at the sequence level. In this study, we perform a comprehensive analysis of in vivo 6mA methylation data from 63 species, spanning bacteria, archaea, unicellular and multicellular eukaryotes. Integrating rule-based learning, deep learning, and linguistically inspired models, we uncover both novel recognition motifs and short flanking nucleotide patterns that systematically associate with methylation events. These auxiliary sites—typically one to two bases in length—exhibit conserved patterns across prokaryotic genomes and suggest a previously underappreciated layer of sequence logic in enzymatic target recognition. These findings not only expand our understanding of 6mA methyltransferase target recognition, but also prompt the proposal of a DNA-guided information search mechanism. This offers a novel conceptual framework for decoding protein–DNA interactions from the perspective of DNA itself.

2 Discovery of Unannotated Motifs in Prokaryotes and Their Potential Connection to Eukaryotic Patterns

As shown in Fig. 1, we identified several candidate 6mA methylation motifs (e.g., AGGT and GAGG; the underlined adenine indicates the methylated site) in six prokaryotic species by transforming DNA sequences into symbolic linguistic representations and applying association rule mining. Although five of these species are listed in the REBASE database, their methylation motifs remain unannotated, with no specific motifs reported in prior studies. Notably, these newly identified motifs resemble recognition patterns observed in several eukaryotic species, suggesting potential evolutionary conservation. The same motifs were also found in additional species, emphasizing their recurrence across domains; detailed comparative results are provided in Supplementary Folder A, File A1.




Fig. 1: Evolutionary distribution of newly identified 6mA methylation motifs across prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Representative 6mA methylation motifs identified through data mining of in vivo SMRT sequencing are shown alongside a phylogenetic tree of selected species. Red highlights indicate the methylated adenine sites within each motif. Motifs are grouped by taxonomic category (Prokaryotes vs. Eukaryotes) and show conserved patterns such as AGGT, GAGG, and A-rich flanking sequences across diverse lineages. Notably, several motifs—such as AGGT and GAGG—are observed in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic species, suggesting potential evolutionary continuity. Eukaryotic motifs presented here are selected as representative examples from a broader set; comprehensive motif lists are provided in Supplementary Folder B.

3 Verification of 6mA information through data cleaning




Fig. 2: Impact of dataset cleaning on 6mA methylation prediction performance across 63 species. Evaluation results of LSTM-based prediction models trained on 6mA methylation datasets before and after data cleaning. Performance is shown across 63 species based on 5-fold cross-validation. The four panels represent: (A) accuracy (ACC), (B) F1 score, (C) area under the ROC curve (AUC), and (D) Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC). Red lines represent results from the cleaned datasets, while blue lines indicate performance using the original (uncleaned) datasets. Species are grouped by domain (prokaryotes vs. eukaryotes), with boundaries indicated by dashed lines. These results highlight species-specific differences in predictive patterns, potentially reflecting the presence of biologically meaningful contextual signals in the cleaned datasets.

After comparing with the REBASE database, we observed that many known core motifs in prokaryotic species were frequently flanked by short auxiliary nucleotide sequences—typically one to two nucleotides in length (see Supplementary Folder B). Understanding the relationship between these auxiliary nucleotides, the core motifs, and 6mA methylation was a key objective of this study. To investigate this, we applied a deep learning model capable of capturing contextual dependencies within DNA sequences. Specifically, we employed a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) architecture, commonly used in natural language processing, to learn high-dimensional features surrounding methylation sites.

As shown in Fig. 2, LSTM models trained on cleaned datasets demonstrated consistent improvements across multiple evaluation metrics, including accuracy (ACC), F1 score, area under the curve (AUC), and Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC). This improvement was especially evident in eukaryotic species and in the six prokaryotic species where novel motifs had been identified. In contrast, species such as *Ruminococcus* sp. NK3A76 and *Solitalea canadensis* DSM 3403 showed minimal changes, likely because their performance was already near-perfect prior to cleaning. These trends were further validated by cross-validation experiments (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Fig. 5)

The results of the deep learning-based data curation experiments, as shown in Fig. 2, demonstrate improvements across multiple evaluation metrics, including ACC, F1, AUC and MCC, in many species — particularly in eukaryotic species and the six prokaryotic species (Fig. 1) where new motifs were identified. Moreover, for example, *Ruminococcus* sp. NK3A76 and *Solitalea canadensis* DSM 3403 did not show significant improvements across the four metrics. This is because the evaluation metrics for these species were already almost 1 prior to cleaning. Through subsequent cross-validation (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Fig. 5), the optimization effects achieved after data cleaning were confirmed.

Notably, the inclusion of auxiliary nucleotide sequences did not degrade model performance, suggesting that these bases may act synergistically with the core motifs. By encoding DNA sequences into symbolic representations, we simulated transition states during the methylation process. Both rule mining and deep learning identified short flanking nucleotides as potential contributors to methylation recognition. These auxiliary nucleotides may serve as primary contact points for enzyme–DNA interactions.

Previous wet-lab studies have shown that methyltransferase recognition relies on enzyme-induced DNA bending and deformation [13, 14], with bending preceding base flipping and insertion [15]. Although this intermediate state is difficult to observe directly, it is known to be crucial for catalysis [16]. The consistent presence of auxiliary nucleotides across species supports their role in facilitating DNA bending and anchoring during the search process.

In summary, our findings indicate that in multiple species, canonical 6mA methylation motifs are frequently accom-

panied by short auxiliary nucleotides—typically one or two bases—which are strongly associated with *in vivo* methylation sites.


4 The molecular interaction landscape on DNA reveals two key information search mechanisms: protein-driven and DNA-driven mechanisms

We mapped the motif information mined from the supplementary tables in Supplementary Folder B onto DNA sequences, successfully constructing the molecular interaction landscape of 6mA MTases on DNA (See Supplementary Folder C). The study revealed that 6mA MTases binds to DNA in a non-specific manner and searches for its recognition sites through linear diffusion [13, 17, 18], indicating a continuous dynamic interaction between the enzyme and DNA. In addition to supporting DNA bending, these auxiliary nucleotides may also influence the enzyme’s movement behavior. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2, we present the GATC sites recognized by EcoDam, a 6mA MTase in *Escherichia coli* *E. coli*, along with the surrounding auxiliary nucleotide sequences. These auxiliary nucleotide series encompass A, T, C, and G and are not constrained by their distance from GATC. This distribution suggests that EcoDam can maintain continuous contact with DNA, preventing it from dissociating. This further confirms that EcoDam is a processive enzyme [18]. Additionally, these auxiliary nucleotide systems support EcoDam’s sliding, hopping behavior, and its ability for ‘intersegmental jumping’ [7]. Previous research has indicated that EcoDam binding to flanking DNA is a mandatory intermediate [5]. Traditionally, proteins were thought to slide along the DNA helix, but recent studies suggest a more complex interaction. Recent studies have demonstrated that the sliding search mode of proteins is far more complex than a simple helical motion, involving frequent and short hops, and may involve weak nonspecific interactions to optimize overall search efficiency [19]. In this context, based on the distribution of auxiliary nucleotides and the DNA molecular interaction landscape, protein search behaviors across species are highly consistent with widely accepted protein diffusion models along DNA [13, 17, 20, 21, 22] (see Fig. 3A–C), including sliding, hopping, sliding and walking mechanisms. As illustrated in Fig. 3A, certain 6mA MTases exhibit flanking sequence preference of DNA. This flanking sequence preference was validated in *Klebsiella Pneumoniae*, where it was found that when adenine in the GATC sequence is methylated, the re-methylation rate of the motif increases significantly if the flanking bases are C/G rather than T/A [23]. Similar flanking sequence preferences have also been reported for other DNA MTases [24, 25]. Further studies revealed that the disordered N-terminal tails of proteins facilitate intersegmental jumping via an intermediate state: the recognition helix of the protein adsorbs onto one DNA fragment, while the N-terminal tail interacts with another DNA fragment. Our findings align well with the proposed ‘monkey-bar’ mechanism [26]. In summary, these auxiliary

Information search mechanism dominated by DNA binding proteins(DBP)


A. Hopping Mechanism

For Example:
Adenine N6 DNA Methyltransferase acts on GGATCC in *Frankia species* DC12 and TTCGAA in *Verrucomicrobia bacterium* LP2A preference C or G or ATTAAT in *Butyrivibrio* sp. AE3003 preference A or T.




B. Sliding Mechanism

For Example:
Adenine N6 DNA Methyltransferase acts on GATC in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655 ATTAAT in *Butyrivibrio* sp. AE3004 or TCAANNNNNTGG in *Lactobacillus brevis* AG48.



C. Stepping Mechanism

For Example:
Adenine N6 DNA Methyltransferase acts on GANTC in *Methylocystis* sp. LW5 preference C and G.



The Information Search Mechanism Dominated by DNA Sequence

D. Random Collision Mechanism

For Example:
Adenine N6 DNA Methyltransferase acts on AAA.GA in *A.thaliana* or AA.A..A...AA in *Exiguobacterium acetylicum* DSM 20416.




Fig. 3: Schematic diagram of protein-dominated and DNA sequence-dominated information search mechanisms in 6mA methylation. This figure illustrates the protein-dominated and DNA sequence-dominated information search mechanisms in 6mA methylation. The protein-driven search mechanisms of 6mA MTases are summarized based on auxiliary nucleotides in prokaryotic species, including the protein movement modes: hopping (A), sliding (B), and walking (C). The DNA sequence-driven search mechanism is highlighted by comparing data from multicellular eukaryotes such as *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) and prokaryotes like *Exiguobacterium acetylicum* DSM 20416 (see Supplementary Folder A, File A2). The figure also describes the random collision mechanism (D) and its association with the DNA sequence. The schematic diagrams were created using Biorender.com.

nucleotide series unveil a protein-driven DNA information search mechanism involving multiple behavioral modes, such as sliding, hopping, and walking. Moreover, DNA bending and enzyme movement may be coupled when the protein reaches its target site. As the enzyme slides along the DNA and reaches a specific binding site, its structural domain can form multiple contact points, such as nonspecific binding sites between flanking nucleotides and the DNA backbone, as well as the target sequence site. These contact points may induce coordinated changes, including DNA bending, insertion, and base flipping[27], thereby

lowering the binding energy barrier and facilitating the methylation reaction.

The core motif with auxiliary nucleotide systems at unrestricted distances stands in sharp contrast to the loosely similar motifs observed in multicellular eukaryotes (e.g., *C. elegans*), suggesting differences in their information search mechanisms. Based on the features of the loose motifs in multicellular eukaryotes (Supplementary Folder B) and the molecular interaction of these motifs in DNA sequences (Supplementary Folder C), we speculate that the DNA

in multicellular eukaryotes (e.g., *C. elegans*) exhibits an information search mechanism dominated by DNA sequences independent of context. In the absence of ATP, DNA achieves target recognition and methylation through a "protein trap" mechanism, aggregating randomly diffusing proteins. Previous analyses of transcription factors (which are also site-specific proteins) in eukaryotes have indicated that, in the absence of ATP, they exhibit widespread, nonfunctional binding and require site clustering to achieve specific binding[28], which aligns with our viewpoint that 6mA MTases in multicellular eukaryotes requires clustering to achieve specific methylation. Furthermore, the study found that the human DNA repair protein O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase (AGT) also recruits multiple AGT molecules to the same region of DNA to facilitate the search for DNA damage [29]. It was observed that when proteins scan DNA for binding sites, the binding rate depends on the DNA sequence[30]. The sequence specificity of DNA binding is determined by binding rather than dissociation, as certain sequences are more likely to be rebound[31]. The multiple similar motifs observed along individual sequences in multicellular eukaryotes provide favorable conditions for protein re-binding. Building on previous research and our results, as shown in Fig. 3D, we propose the following DNA sequence-driven search mechanism: In living cells, 6mA MTases perform extensive non-specific binding to DNA. Specific binding is only achieved when sufficient 6mA MTases accumulates at the binding sites[28]. The energy cost associated with DNA bending is offset by the preferential binding of proteins. This process induces conformational changes in DNA, transforming initially affinity-binding sites into higher-affinity "super sites"[32], ultimately leading to methylation. An increase in enzyme oligomers typically indicates a higher DNA binding affinity[33]. Notably, this sequence-dominated information search mechanism is not unique to multicellular eukaryotes but has also been identified in certain prokaryotes, such as *Exiguobacterium acetylicum* DSM 20416 (see Supplementary Folder A – File A2). However, the

exact number of similar functional proteins required for this mechanism is yet to be determined.

In summary, our results reveal a systematic difference in the distribution of auxiliary nucleotides between prokaryotes and multicellular eukaryotes. In prokaryotes and some unicellular eukaryotes, auxiliary nucleotides are broadly distributed near core motifs, whereas in multicellular eukaryotes, multiple loosely clustered motifs are observed. This redundant arrangement likely reduces the cost of target search by preventing prolonged diffusion of the associated proteins, thereby enhancing the efficiency of target site recognition.

5 Examining the flexibility of 6mA MTases and their conformations through the molecular interaction landscape on DNA

EcoDam (GATC) in *E. coli* is an orphan MTase that typically exists as a monomer and methylates DNA[18]. However, as shown in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Folder A – File A3, we observed that in *E. coli*, even when the DNA sequence lacked complete GATC, GAT, or ATC motifs, the adenine at the center of the sequence underwent methylation. How is methylation achieved at these non-specific sites in the DNA sequence? The study found that EcoDam forms dimers upon binding to DNA substrates in a substrate-induced manner, and this dimerization activates the enzyme's catalytic function, potentially enhancing its distribution efficiency and enabling it to methylate non-specific sites[34]. When the double-stranded oligonucleotide forms a ternary complex with EcoDam MTase containing dimeric enzymes, the binding of MTase exhibits high cooperativity, and the enzyme undergoes a structural rearrangement upon interacting with the substrate, further enhancing its activity[35]. This study also found similar cases of non-specific site methylation in other species, such as the presence of an 6mA MTase in *Eubacterium* sp. AB3007 that methylates the adenine in CAAAAA, which likely also

```
ATCACCCAGGAGATATGGCGCACCGCCAGTGTAGCCAG----- G17...A21.C23.....T34(0.838/0.096) C18...A21.C23.....T31(0.835/0.075) A12....C18..A21.C23(0.833/0.072)
GAGACCTGGGTGGAATGCTAACCTGGGCGTGTACCCCGGA ----- C18T19.A21.C23(0.854/0.073) T16.C18..A21.C23(0.847/0.071) T16G17...A21.C23(0.841/0.106) G17.T19.A21.C23(0.834/0.105)
TGATAAAAGGATGCTCAGGAGGCAACGACGTGAAACAAT-----G20A21.....C38.A40(0.843/0.062) T13.....G20A21.....C38(0.84/0.075) A7.....G20A21.....C38(0.839/0.067)
CCACTCCACAGCGGCAAGTGAAGCAGCGATGACGCTCTATG-----A21.G23.A25.C27(0.893/0.093) A16...G20A21....C27(0.891/0.089) ort:0.085) A16...G20A21.G23(0.875/0.092) A21.G23.....T30G31(0.869/0.09)
AGGAACTGCCATTAAATCACATAGACCAGTCTTTCTAAT-----C18..A21T22.G24(0.870/0.084) C18..A21T22...C26(0.853/0.088) C11.....C18..A21T22(0.849/0.075) G9.....C18..A21T22(0.845/0.067)
TAAATCGCCGGAAAGTTTCAACCTGATCATGAAACGCCGTG-----C19.A21.C23T24(0.852/0.061) T16...C19.A21.C23(0.839/0.086) G14...C19.A21.C23(0.837/0.076) G11.....C19.A21.C23(0.833/0.08)
```

Fig. 4: Sequence characteristics of non-specific methylation sites in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, supporting substrate-induced EcoDam aggregation mechanisms. This figure shows the sequence characteristics of non-specific methylation sites in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, which do not contain the canonical GATC, GAT, or ATC motifs. These sites support substrate-induced EcoDam aggregation mechanisms, as observed at the DNA sequence level, confirming previous wet-lab findings. The highlighted regions indicate the key methylated sites and their sequence contexts, which contribute to the understanding of the methylation behavior of EcoDam in this bacterial strain. (The numbers in parentheses correspond to P(pos) and support values, respectively.)

methylates non-specific sites (Supplementary Folder A – File A4) through aggregation. The mechanism described above is consistent with the DNA sequence-driven information search mechanism proposed in this study, where the binding at these non-specific sites requires the aggregation of multiple 6mA MTases to complete methylation. This suggests that the DNA sequence-driven information search mechanism may be common in live cells and is likely regulated by salt concentration[35]. This also explains why the phenomenon of dimerization or oligomerization of 6mA MTases[36] is often observed. Furthermore, the simultaneous methylation of both specific and non-specific recognition sites in live cells suggests that 6mA MTases can switch and regulate between these two search mechanisms. At the same time, this also involves the recycling and turnover of protein subunits[37], although the subunits involved in the turnover process here may differ.

We also observed a shift in adenine methylation sites. For example, *Eubacterium* sp. AB3007 is recorded in the REBASE database (<https://rebase.neb.com/cgi-bin/pacbioget?12084>), where its recognition motifs are CAAAAA and CAGAAG (Supplementary Folder A – File A5). Building on this, as shown in File A6 in Supplementary Folder A, we also identified new adenine methylation sites within these motifs, CAAAAA and CAGAAG. This reflects the flexibility of the 6mA MTase target recognition domain(TRD)conformation in this species. Our analysis revealed potential methylation site shifts in *Eubacterium* sp. AB3007, although CamA(An orphan MTase that methylates CAAAAA)did not support such shifts in vitro experiments[38], possibly due to the inability to simulate the microenvironment of DNA in living cells.

In summary, our results reveal that 6mA MTases exhibit remarkable binding and catalytic flexibility during the methylation process in living cells. We observed that adenine methylation can occur even within DNA sequences lacking typical motifs (such as GATC), often accompanied by enzyme dimerization or aggregation. In addition, the identification of novel methylation sites within known motifs suggests that methylation sites are adjustable. Together, these findings indicate that adenine methyltransferases can achieve effective recognition and modification of complex DNA sequences through dynamic aggregation and site flexibility.

6 Exploring the Potential Relationships and Evolutionary Patterns of Methylation Motifs Across Species via Cross-Validation

The potential relationships between species recognition motifs were analyzed through cross-validation. As shown in Fig. 5, correlations between multiple species are highlighted in the red box. By referencing REBASE Database 3, which catalogs prokaryotic 6mA methylation recognition motifs, we identified 15 prokaryotic species containing the GATC recognition motif, which were recognized in our analysis (see Supplementary Folder 1, Supplementary files). Among these, *Exiguobacterium aurantiacum* DSM 6208 (<https://rebase.neb.com/cgi-bin/pacbioget?12762>) and *Lachnospiraceae bacterium* AC2012 (<https://rebase.neb.com/cgi-bin/pacbioget?12086>) recognized the GATC motif, but the specific methylation type was not clearly labeled in the REBASE database. Through this study, we found that GATC can be 6mA methylated(File A7, A8 in Supplementary Folder A). Additionally, four other species' recognition motifs also include GATC: *Ruminococcus albus* AD2013 (TGATCY), *Clostridium* sp. 12(A) (GGATC), *Frankia* species DC12 (GGATCC), and Acidobacteriaceae bacterium TAA166 strain TAA 166 (GAGATC). Notably, these species are distributed across various prokaryotic lineages (Supplementary Folder A – File A9). Based on this observation, we propose that GATC may be a more primitive ancestral motif recognized by 6mA MTases. Further analysis of these data may provide insights into the evolutionary patterns of 6mA enzyme recognition motifs.

In the 15 species containing the GATC motif, we identified a core motif composed of five nucleotides with auxiliary nucleotides in 13 species (as shown in Supplementary Fig. 4). These core motifs are closely related to the GATC motif. Additionally, relationships were observed between these five-nucleotide motifs and recognition motifs in four other GATC-containing species. For example, GATCC and the GGATC motif from *Clostridium* sp. 12(A) are complementary strands, with the motifs being identical. The reverse strand of GATCA, TGATC, also shows similarities to the TGATCY motif recognized by *Ruminococcus albus* AD2013, among others. Furthermore, studies on the crystal structure of the EcoDam (GATC)-DNA complex have shown that EcoDam can recognize the GAT and ATC motifs[16]. Related studies have shown that EcoDam




Fig. 5: Cross-species validation thermodynamic heatmap for LSTM model performance. This figure presents the thermodynamic heatmap showing the accuracy (ACC) of LSTM models trained on datasets from 63 species. The heatmap was generated using hold-out positive samples from each species. The color gradient represents the ACC value, where darker blue indicates higher accuracy. The red-dashed box highlights the region corresponding to the GATC-related motifs and demonstrates the correlation between the species with these motifs. For more detailed analysis, see the results in Supplementary Folder A, File A1.

exhibits lower recognition accuracy for the first G:C base pair compared to the third and fourth base pairs [39, 40, 41]. When the first base is substituted, EcoDam can still perform methylation, but there is a significant reduction in the methylation rate (e.g., the methylation rate for AATC decreases by a factor of 100) [40]. Additionally, EcoDam can methylate homologous sites (e.g., GATN), but

the methylation rate is three orders of magnitude slower than that for GATC[40]. These findings indicate that base pair interactions are not strictly conserved, supporting the flexibility of 6mA MTases during the recognition process. Furthermore, they support the hypothesis that 6mA MTases may have evolved from ancestral proteins that recognized ATC[39]. In many prokaryotic organisms, we observed

the widespread occurrence of ATC and GAT within motifs recognized as GATC (Supplementary Folder B). Moreover, in the 6mA methylated DNA data from *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, we observed that even in the absence of the GATC motif, sequences containing only GAT or ATC were still subject to methylation (Supplementary Folder A – File A10). These findings align with results from previous studies. In *Tetrahymena thermophila* (*T. thermophila*), the GAT and ATC motifs seem to have diverged from the GATC motif (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Folder A - File A11). However, *T. thermophila* lacks the canonical GATC motif. Notably, *T. thermophila* is a unicellular eukaryote commonly found in freshwater environments and classified as a thermophile, capable of surviving at elevated temperatures (30–37°C). From the perspective of species origin and environmental adaptation, *T. thermophila* is likely to exhibit a slower rate of protein evolution. To summarize the above information, the evolutionary pathway of the recognition motifs for 6mA MTases has been progressively clarified: GAT or ATC → GATC → GATCC, with further evolution toward longer recognition sequences.

Besides the evolutionary clues about GATC, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 5, we also identified potential evolutionary relationships of 6mA MTases TRDs among species that recognize TTAA and AATT motifs. We collected these nine species and constructed their phylogenetic tree. Meanwhile, these species are neatly distributed across different branches of the phylogenetic tree, suggesting that the TRDs of these 6mA MTases may have undergone lineage-specific differentiation.

In summary, our cross-species analysis reveals conserved connections in 6mA methylation motif recognition. GATC and its derivatives (e.g., GATCC, GATCA) are widely distributed across prokaryotes, suggesting that GATC may represent an ancestral, evolutionarily conserved motif. Simplified motifs such as ATC and GAT, along with their methylation, support a potential evolutionary path from simple to complex motifs. Beyond GATC-related motifs, we identified lineage-specific associations among species recognizing TTAA and AATT, indicating diversification of methyltransferase TRDs. These findings provide systematic evidence for the diversity and evolutionary dynamics of 6mA methylation motifs.

7 Discussion and conclusion

We systematically elucidated the target-search mechanism of 6mA MTases using live-cell DNA data. Our approach provides deeper insights into the dynamic recognition patterns of 6mA MTases across the genome, thereby overcoming the limitations of conventional in vitro protein-centric methods and enabling more accurate identification of target sites. In addition to discovering novel motifs, we uncovered auxiliary nucleotide sequences located near core motifs, which support a protein-driven search mechanism whereby proteins comprehensively scan DNA to

locate methylation sites. In contrast, we identified an alternative DNA sequence-driven search mechanism that involves the recruitment and aggregation of proteins. Furthermore, we mapped the molecular landscape of 6mA methylation, revealing key interactions between methyltransferases and DNA, and traced the evolutionary trajectories of specific motifs. Our data-driven findings align closely with established experimental evidence, supporting both protein-driven and DNA sequence-driven recognition mechanisms. Rather than continuing to rely on protein-centered speculative experiments limited by current technologies, we propose a new paradigm that deciphers protein-binding mechanisms through DNA's intrinsic informational code—reversing conventional perspectives and letting DNA itself reveal how proteins recognize their targets. Although the auxiliary nucleotides adjacent to canonical 6mA motifs might initially appear redundant from a data mining perspective, our integrative analyses across species suggest otherwise. These flanking nucleotides are frequently observed, do not impair model performance when retained, and may contribute to essential processes such as DNA bending, enzyme anchoring, and dynamic scanning behavior. Their presence supports a broader model of protein–DNA recognition that extends beyond the core motif, and may help explain the diverse search strategies observed among methyltransferases. The diversity of 6mA recognition across species lacks a unifying framework. This study builds a data-driven model based on in vivo methylation to systematically decode its molecular logic.

Differences in Cross-Species Search Mechanisms and Their Evolutionary Significance

Building on our findings, we further examined the information search strategies employed by methyltransferases across different organisms. The overall data suggest that in prokaryotes and unicellular eukaryotes, 6mA MTases primarily rely on protein-driven search mechanisms. These include one-dimensional diffusion strategies such as sliding and hopping, which allow for rapid scanning of DNA to locate target sites. In contrast, multicellular eukaryotes tend to adopt DNA sequence-driven search mechanisms, wherein regulatory information is embedded within the DNA sequence itself. Multicellular eukaryotic genomes are typically larger and contain extensive non-coding regions and complex regulatory structures[42]. While DNA sequence-driven strategies may compromise search speed, they enable precise methylation at specific sites, contributing to stable epigenetic memory that supports cell differentiation and the maintenance of cellular functions. In *T. thermophila*, the maintenance 6mA MTase (AMT1) restores 6mA methylation in a highly processive manner, ensuring the stable inheritance of 6mA marks[43]. Similarly, in yeast, protein complexes such as Mlh1-Pms1 and Msh2-Msh6 exhibit protein-dominated site recognition mechanisms[44]. It is worth noting that although

prokaryotes predominantly rely on protein-driven strategies, we also observed non-specific binding sites within their genomes. These structures closely resemble latent regulatory elements found in multicellular eukaryotes in both function and distribution. This conservation may not be incidental; rather, it may reflect a form of epigenetic regulatory plasticity. Such elements may provide greater flexibility and adaptability in gene expression, acting as potential backup switches especially under conditions of rapid environmental change or developmental fate decisions.

Interestingly, while most motifs identified in *E. coli* (e.g., G20A21T22C23) reflect a protein-guided search strategy, a small subset (e.g., A16A17A18.G20A21) bears structural resemblance to A-rich motifs (see Supplementary Folder A – File A12) commonly found in multicellular eukaryotes. These motifs, though rare, mirror the DNA-driven recruitment logic observed in eukaryotic systems. Notably, previous kinetic studies have shown that similar A-rich flanking sequences (e.g., AAAAGATC) can substantially reduce EcoDam methylation efficiency—by up to 12-fold[45]. This suggests that in *E. coli*, such motifs may act as kinetic barriers during sliding, whereas in eukaryotes, similar motifs function to attract protein binding through sequence redundancy. The coexistence of these two structural patterns in *E. coli* points to a potential interface—or evolutionary transition—between the two recognition strategies.

Structural Basis and Functional Evolution of Specific Enzyme Recognition Mechanisms

The REBASE database [3] records a MTase in *Methylocystis* sp. LW5 that methylates the A at position 6 of the GATCSAG sequence. However, after in-depth analysis of the DNA data, we found that the complete methylation site for this enzyme should be GATCSAG (See details in Supplementary Folder A – File A13). Additionally, we observed that in *Methylocystis* sp. LW5, the distribution of the methylated GATC in the positive samples was 0.641, while the distribution of the GATCGATC sequence was 1 (see Supplementary Folder A - File A14). In this sequence, the enzyme exhibits a clear tendency to dimerize. Dimerization expands the catalytic range of the enzyme, making methylation more likely to occur at non-specific sites. This explains why the methylation patterns at certain sites recorded in REBASE are difficult to predict, making it challenging to identify and predict the specific coding genes of these enzymes in the REBASE database (<https://rebase.neb.com/cgi-bin/pacbioget?13148>). A similar enzyme dimerization tendency was observed in *Exiguobacterium aurantiacum* DSM 6208, where the distribution of methylated GATC in positive samples was 0.871, while the distribution of the G20A21T22C23G24A25.C27 sequence was 1 (see Supplementary Folder A - File A7). This suggests that these enzymes have undergone significant changes in their catalytic abilities between specific and non-specific sites,

reflecting an adaptive adjustment of the search mechanism. Additionally, in *Cellulomonas* sp. KRMCY2, the distribution of methylated GATC in positive samples was 0.81, while the distribution of the motif containing auxiliary nucleotides, G17..G20A21T22C23...G27, in positive samples reached 1.0 (see Supplementary Folder A - File A15). This phenomenon further highlights the important role of auxiliary nucleotides in the methylation process.

Both *Methylocystis* sp. LW5 and *Methylobacterium* sp. EUR3 AL-11 exhibit 6mA methylation of GANTC. In these two species, this sequence is likely methylated by a homologous orphan MTase of CcrM (GANTC)[46]. As a monomer, this enzyme is active, and the oligomerization of CcrM[47] (e.g., dimerization) may allow methylation at non-specific sites (See details in Supplementary Folder A – File A16, A17). Furthermore, research has shown that the C-terminal domain of CcrM is thought to be involved in nonspecific DNA binding and plays a crucial role in the enzyme's catalytic activity[48, 49, 50]. This corresponds to the auxiliary nucleotides identified outside the GAANTC core motif, further suggesting that these auxiliary nucleotides may play a significant regulatory role in CcrM's nonspecific binding and catalytic process. Meanwhile, the M.EcoKI (EcoKI methyltransferase) is composed of the M2S trimeric protein, which includes two methylation modification subunits (M) and one site recognition subunit (S). This enzyme methylates the sequence AACNNNNNGTGC[9](Supplementary Folder A – File A18). Studies have shown that, under varying salt concentrations, the affinity of EcoKI's dimeric forms M1S1 and M2S1 for DNA is weaker than that of the trimer, and their ability to distinguish DNA sequences is reduced[51]. This provides a possible explanation for why incomplete motifs (e.g., GCA or GNAC) discovered in Supplementary Fig. 6 can still be methylated.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

In this study, we applied the Apriori algorithm for rule-based motif discovery, consistently using fixed parameters: a support threshold of 0.06 and a confidence level of 1.0. These settings ensured that the identified motifs exhibited sufficient frequency and pattern consistency within the dataset. Although support and confidence differ from conventional statistical significance measures such as p-values, they are effective in the context of data mining for selecting high-confidence, potentially biologically meaningful sequence patterns. To evaluate the coverage of motifs recorded in the REBASE database, we used a threshold of $P(\text{pos}) \geq 0.99$ to map initially identified motifs to the positive sample set. Unmatched positive samples were retained for second- and third-round iterative motif mining. In each round, we used these newly selected positive samples to construct new datasets with equal-sized negative samples, while keeping the support and confidence parameters unchanged, and recorded the distribution of discovered motifs. Ultimately, without lowering the selection thresholds, we achieved complete recovery of all annotated

motifs from the REBASE database (see Supplementary Folder A – File A1). In addition, our method identified several sequence patterns—such as AGGT and GAGG—that appeared recurrently across multiple species but are not yet recorded in REBASE. These findings suggest that such motifs may hold functional or biological significance and further demonstrate the generalizability and novel motif discovery potential of our strategy.

It is worth noting that although this study has not yet been validated through wet-lab experiments, the multiple mechanisms we propose based on in vivo DNA methylation data are highly consistent with previous experimental observations, providing strong support for both protein-driven and DNA sequence-driven information search mechanisms. Methodologically, we introduce an approach that converts DNA sequences into a Chinese symbolic system to simulate the "transition states" of bases during the methylation process. By further applying rule-mining techniques, we identify key elements involved in methylation. These elements may not only represent functional sites directly participating in the methylation reaction but also reflect potential contact interfaces between enzymes and DNA. Collectively, our findings lay a solid foundation for future experimental validation of adenine methyltransferase target site recognition mechanisms and offer a forward-looking theoretical basis for structural studies of enzyme-DNA complexes using crystallography, cryo-electron microscopy, and other structural biology techniques.

Methods

Research Methods and Significance In previous studies, we found that mapping DNA onto the Chinese language to simulate the "transition state" of bases during the adenine methyltransferase and DNA methylation reactions did not lead to the loss of 6mA adenine methylation information[12]. This discovery offers a new perspective on how information is stored on DNA[12]. We believe this method holds potential for providing important clues in the study of interactions between DNA-binding proteins and DNA.

Traditional DNA information retrieval studies typically focus on the protein level, relying on visualization techniques and in vitro wet-lab experiments. These methods have clear limitations in elucidating complex molecular mechanisms. By delving deeper into the details of the information exchange between DNA-binding proteins and DNA sequences, we could break through the bottlenecks of traditional research, advancing our understanding and analysis of life's information systems. Ultimately, this holds the potential to have a profound impact on genomics, epigenetics, and related disease research.

Phylogenetic Tree In this study, we collected 63 species, including 56 prokaryotes (bacteria and archaea) and 7 eukaryotes (both unicellular and multicellular). For prokaryotes, 16S rRNA sequences were retrieved from the SILVA

database[52], and for eukaryotes, 18S rRNA sequences were obtained from the NCBI database[53]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA software[54] (Supplementary Folder 2—detailed phylogenetic tree is available in Supplementary File 1). The species collected span multiple categories and taxonomic groups, contributing to revealing the evolutionary patterns of DNA methylation and exploring the differences in information retrieval mechanisms between prokaryotes and eukaryotes, thus providing a broader perspective for existing research.

Positive Sample Processing We collected 6mA data for prokaryotes and eukaryotes from the MethSMRT database[55]. 6mA data for *Oryza sativa* (rice) and *T. thermophila* were obtained from the relevant literature[56, 57]. To balance data quantity and quality, the initial QV value for *C. elegans*, *A. thaliana* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), *D. melanogaster* (*Drosophila melanogaster*), rice, and *T. thermophila* was 30, while a QV value of 0 was used for other species. Each sample had a length of 41bp, with the methylated adenine located in the center. To avoid redundancy and reduce homology bias, we employed the CD-HIT[58] program to remove sequences with over 80% similarity. Through these two steps, we obtained the positive samples for each species. For cross-validation of subsequent models, 20% of the positive samples were randomly selected as reserved positive samples. These reserved positive samples were not involved in any training of the algorithm model and were solely used for later cross-validation.

Negative Sample We randomly selected a number of negative samples equal to the positive samples from the genomes of the 63 species mentioned above. Each negative sample was required to be at least 20 nucleotides away from known methylation sites to avoid information overlap.

Motif Extraction DDNA motifs refer to short, similar repetitive patterns of nucleotides with biological significance[59]. In previous studies, we validated the feasibility of converting DNA sequences into Chinese characters using a manual dictionary to simulate base transition states. It was observed that this conversion did not result in the loss of the original DNA methylation information. Therefore, this study does not replicate the validation. For rule extraction, the Apriori algorithm[60] was chosen. The minimum support was set at 0.06, and the confidence was set at 1.0. The rules extracted from the positive samples have suggested that the presence of specific key nucleotides leads to the methylation of the central adenine. The minimum support ensures that these rules appear in at least 6% of the positive samples. It is noteworthy that the motifs obtained can exceed the 0.06 threshold in the positive samples, meaning the set support is only the minimum value.

Motif Distribution Tendency To understand the distribution of 6mA methylation information, we calculated the proportion of these motifs in both positive and negative

samples. This analysis reflects how motifs tend to appear in different categories. The calculation method is consistent with the one described in previous studies¹². The data are stored in Supplementary Folder 1 and can be downloaded from the supplementary files.

Motif-to-Sequence Mapping for Molecular Interaction Landscape Construction To visualize the distribution of methylation-related sequence patterns, we projected the identified motifs onto individual 41 bp DNA sequences from each species. Each instance of a motif was annotated within its original sequence context, including its position, support, and P(pos) value. This enabled the construction of instance-level interaction landscapes, revealing how core and auxiliary nucleotides are arranged and potentially involved in protein–DNA interaction. These visualizations support the mechanistic modeling of recognition dynamics, including sliding, hopping, and DNA bending behaviors. Corresponding files are organized in Supplementary Folder C.

Training of Algorithm Models In this study, we chose the classical Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network^[61], a foundational model widely used in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP). LSTM is effective at handling sequential data and capturing dependencies within the data, which makes it well-suited for modeling tasks that involve sequential information. The dataset was divided into training and test sets, with 80% of the data used for training and 20% for testing, ensuring no overlap between the two sets. To further enhance the model’s robustness and reduce the risk of overfitting, we employed five-fold cross-validation. During the cross-validation process, the dataset was divided into five subsets, with four subsets used for training and the remaining subset used for validation. The final model performance was evaluated by averaging the results from all five validation folds.

Performance evaluation We evaluated the performance of the model using the following metrics: accuracy (ACC), F1, Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC) and the area under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve (AUC) as follows:

$$\frac{1}{F_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{R} \right)$$

$$ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FN + FP}$$

$$MCC = \frac{TP \cdot TN - FP \cdot FN}{\sqrt{(TP + FN)(TP + FP)}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(TN + FP)(TN + FN)}}$$

where TP, TN, FP, and FN represent true positive, true negative, false positive, and false negative, respectively.

Dataset Cleaning and Model Evaluation Comparison Experiment The comparison experiment on dataset cleaning in deep learning helps reveal the relationship between dataset quality and model performance, indirectly inferring which data features may be more important or which information is valuable during training. In the case of unknown enzyme recognition sites, after applying the aforementioned rule-based extraction, we compared the mined motifs with the recognition motifs of adenine N6-methyltransferase recorded in the REBASE database. We found that auxiliary nucleotide sequences often appear alongside the reported core motifs. Specifically, based on the motif data for various species in Supplementary Folder 1, we defined the criteria for false-negative samples: if the distribution proportion of a motif in the positive samples exceeded 80%, the motif was identified as a characteristic motif of the positive samples; if this motif appeared in the negative samples, such negative samples were defined as false-negative samples. Subsequently, we randomly selected an equal number of negative samples that did not contain these motifs and combined them with the original positive samples to construct a cleaned dataset. By comparing the performance of the LSTM model on the original dataset and the cleaned dataset, we assessed the impact of these motifs and auxiliary nucleotide series on adenine methylation information. If these motifs are indeed related to methylation, we anticipate a significant improvement in the evaluation metrics of the model on the cleaned dataset. Conversely, if the metrics decline, it would indicate that this information may represent erroneous methylation signals.

Cross-validation To explore the potential relationships between recognition motifs across species, the two models trained on the cleaned datasets were independently tested on the reserved positive samples from 63 species, and a heatmap of accuracy was plotted. The heatmap of cross-validation for the model trained on the original dataset is shown in Fig.3, while the heatmap for the model trained on the cleaned dataset is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1.

This paper has supplementary information.

Correspondence and material requests should be addressed to db.wang@njau.edu.cn .

Data availability

All supplementary materials have been organized into three structured folders (Folders A–C), covering core summary results, motif frequency analysis, and sequence-level molecular interaction landscapes. The full dataset has been deposited on the Zenodo platform and is currently under embargo. To request access, please contact the corresponding author.

Code availability

All analysis scripts used in this study, including motif mining, sequence-to-motif mapping, and LSTM model training, have been archived in a private GitHub repository. The repository will be made publicly available upon formal publication.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Major Project of the National Social Science Fund of China (Project No. 21&ZD331), which enabled the development of this research. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the funding agency for their trust and support. We also thank all colleagues for their invaluable expertise and assistance throughout the course of this project.

References

- [1] T A Bickle and D H Krüger. Biology of dna restriction. *Microbiol. Rev.*, 57:434–450, 1993.
- [2] Satish Adhikari and Patrick D. Curtis. Dna methyltransferases and epigenetic regulation in bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.*, 40:575–591, 07 2016.
- [3] Richard J Roberts, Tamas Vincze, Janos Posfai, and Dana Macelis. Rebase: a database for dna restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 51:D629–D630, 01 2023.
- [4] Urulangodi KunhIRaman Madhusoodanan and Desirazu N Rao. Diversity of dna methyltransferases that recognize asymmetric target sequences. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol.*, 45:125–145, 02 2010.
- [5] Adam J Pollak and Norbert O Reich. Proximal recognition sites facilitate intrasite hopping by dna adenine methyltransferase. *J Biol Chem.*, 287:22873–22881, 06 2012.
- [6] Adam J Pollak, Aaron Chin, Frank , and Norbert O Reich. Dna looping provides for “intersegmental hopping” by proteins: A mechanism for long-range site localization. *J Mol Biol.*, 426:3539–3552, 10 2014.
- [7] Adam J. Pollak and Norbert O. Reich. Dna adenine methyltransferase facilitated diffusion is enhanced by protein–dna “roadblock” complexes that induce dna looping. *Biochemistry*, 54:2181–2192, 03 2015.
- [8] John R. Horton, Xing Zhang, Robert M. Blumenthal, and Xiaodong Cheng. Structures of escherichia coli dna adenine methyltransferase (dam) in complex with a non-gatc sequence: potential implications for methylation-independent transcriptional repression. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43:4296–4308, 04 2015.
- [9] White JH et al. Kennaway CK, Obarska-Kosinska A. The structure of m.ecoki type i dna methyltransferase with a dna mimic antirestriction protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 37:762–770, 11 2009.
- [10] J. Gorman and E. C. Greene. Visualizing one-dimensional diffusion of proteins along dna. *Mol. Biol.*, 15:768–774, 08 2008.
- [11] Takahiro Deguchi, Malina K Iwanski, Eva-Maria Schentarra, Christopher Heidebrecht, L.D Schmidt, Jennifer Heck, Tobias Weihs, Sebastian Schnorrenberg, Philipp Hoess, Sheng Liu, Veronika Chevyreva, Kyung-Min Noh, Lukas C Kapitein, and Jonas Ries. Direct observation of motor protein stepping in living cells using minflux. *Science*, 379:1010–1015, 03 2023.
- [12] Yang Li and Dongbo Wang. Dna and human language. Manuscript to be submitted to arXiv, 2025.
- [13] Humaira Gowher and Albert Jeltsch. Molecular enzymology of the eco rv dna-(adenine-n6)-methyltransferase: kinetics of dna binding and bending, kinetic mechanism and linear diffusion of the enzyme on dna. *J Mol Biol.*, 303:93–110, 10 2000.
- [14] R A Garcia, C J Bustamante, and N O Reich. Sequence-specific recognition of cytosine c5 and adenine n6 dna methyltransferases requires different deformations of dna. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*, 93:7618–7622, 07 1996.
- [15] Ben Youngblood and Norbert O. Reich. Conformational transitions as determinants of specificity for the dna methyltransferase ecori. *J Biol Chem.*, 281:26821–26831, 07 2006.
- [16] B Youngblood, E. Bonnist, D T.F Dryden, A C Jones, and N O Reich. Differential stabilization of reaction intermediates: specificity checkpoints for m.ecori revealed by transient fluorescence and fluorescence lifetime studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 36:2917–2925, 03 2008.
- [17] S. E. Halford. How do site-specific dna-binding proteins find their targets? *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32:3040–3052, 06 2004.
- [18] Sabine Urig, Humaira Gowher, Andrea Hermann, Carsten Beck, Mehrnaz Fatemi, Andeas Humeny, and Albert Jeltsch. The escherichia coli dam dna methyltransferase modifies dna in a highly processive reaction. *J Mol Biol.*, 319:1085–1096, 06 2002.
- [19] Emil Marklund, Brad van Oosten, Guanzhong Mao, Elias Amselem, Kalle Kipper, Anton Sabantsev, Andrew Emmerich, Daniel Globisch, Xuan Zheng, Laura C. Lehmann, Otto G. Berg, Magnus Johansson, Johan Elf, and Sebastian Deindl. Dna surface exploration and operator bypassing during target search. *Nature*, 583:858–861, 07 2020.
- [20] Anahita Tafvizi, Leonid A Mirny, and Antoine M van Oijen. Dancing on dna: kinetic aspects of search processes on dna. *Chemphyschem.*, 12:1481–1489, 07 2011.
- [21] Otto G. Berg, Robert B. Winter, and Peter H. Von Hippel. Diffusion-driven mechanisms of protein translocation on nucleic acids. 1. models and theory. *Biochemistry*, 20:6929–6948, 11 1981.


- [22] Alexandre Esadze and Junji Iwahara. Stopped-flow fluorescence kinetic study of protein sliding and intersegment transfer in the target dna search process. *J Mol Biol.*, 426:230–244, 01 2014.
- [23] Jing Fu, Ju Zhang, Li Yang, Nan Ding, Liya Yue, Xiangli Zhang, Dandan Lu, Xinmiao Jia, Cuidan Li, Chongye Guo, Zhe Yin, Xiaoyuan Jiang, Yongliang Zhao, Fei Chen, and Dongsheng Zhou. Precision methylome and *in vivo* methylation kinetics characterization of *klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics.*, 20:418–434, 06 2021.
- [24] Iping G Lin, Li Han, Alexander Taghva, Laura E O’Brien, and Chih-Lin Hsieh. Murine de novo methyltransferase dnmt3a demonstrates strand asymmetry and site preference in the methylation of dna in vitro. *Mol Cell Biol.*, 22:704–723, 02 2002.
- [25] Vikas Handa and Albert Jeltsch. Profound flanking sequence preference of dnmt3a and dnmt3b mammalian dna methyltransferases shape the human epigenome. *J Mol Biol.*, 348:1103–1112, 05 2005.
- [26] Dana Vuzman and Yaakov Levy. The “monkey-bar” mechanism for searching for the dna target site: The molecular determinants. *Isr J Chem.*, 54:1374–1381, 08 2014.
- [27] Ben B. Hopkins and Norbert O. Reich. Simultaneous dna binding, bending, and base flipping. *J Biol Chem.*, 279:37049–37060, 06 2004.
- [28] Zeba Wunderlich and Leonid A. Mirny. Different gene regulation strategies revealed by analysis of binding motifs. *Trends Genet.*, 25:434–440, 10 2009.
- [29] Douglas S Daniels, Tammy T Woo, Kieu X Luu, David M Noll, Neil D Clarke, Anthony E Pegg, and John A Tainer. Dna binding and nucleotide flipping by the human dna repair protein agt. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*, 11:714–720, 06 2004.
- [30] Petter Hammar, Prune Leroy, Anel Mahmutovic, Erik G. Marklund, Otto G. Berg, and Johan Elf. The lac repressor displays facilitated diffusion in living cells. *Science*, 336:1595–1598, 06 2012.
- [31] Emil Marklund, Guanzhong Mao, Jinwen Yuan, Spartak Zikrin, Eldar Abdurakhmanov, Sebastian Deindl, and Johan Elf. Sequence specificity in dna binding is mainly governed by association. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 375:442–445, 01 2022.
- [32] Ariel Afek, Honglue Shi, Atul Rangadurai, Harshit Sahay, Alon Senitzki, Suela Xhani, Mimi Fang, Raul Salinas, Zachery Mielko, Miles A. Pufall, Gregory M. K. Poon, Tali E. Haran, Maria A. Schumacher, Hashim M. Al-Hashimi, and Raluca Gordân. Dna mismatches reveal conformational penalties in protein–dna recognition. *Nature*, 587:291–296, 11 2020.
- [33] P Marks. Purification and characterisation of a novel dna methyltransferase, m.ahdi. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31:2803–2810, 05 2003.
- [34] Stephanie R. Coffin and Norbert O. Reich. *escherichia coli* dna adenine methyltransferase: Intrinsite processivity and substrate-induced dimerization and activation. *Biochemistry*, 48:7399–7410, 07 2009.
- [35] Fedor V Tuzikov, Victor V Zinoviev, Vladimir I Vavilin, and Ernst G Malygin. Application of the small-angle x-ray scattering technique for the study of two-step equilibrium enzyme–substrate interactions. *Biopolymers*, 38:131–139, 12 1998.
- [36] Evdokimov A. A. Malygin, E. G. and S. Hattman. Dimeric/oligomeric dna methyltransferases: an unfinished story. *Biol Chem.*, 390:835–844, 09 2009.
- [37] Michelle Simons and Mark D. Szczelkun. Recycling of protein subunits during dna translocation and cleavage by type i restriction–modification enzymes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 39:7656–7666, 06 2011.
- [38] Jujun Zhou, John R. Horton, Robert M. Blumenthal, Xing Zhang, and Xiaodong Cheng. Clostridioides difficile specific dna adenine methyltransferase cama squeezes and flips adenine out of dna helix. *Nat Commun.*, 12:3436, 06 2021.
- [39] John R. Horton, Kirsten Liebert, Miklos Bekes, Albert Jeltsch, and Xiaodong Cheng. Structure and substrate recognition of the escherichia coli dna adenine methyltransferase. *J Mol Biol.*, 358:559–570, 04 2006.
- [40] John R. Horton, Kirsten Liebert, Stanley Hattman, Albert Jeltsch, and Xiaodong Cheng. Transition from nonspecific to specific dna interactions along the substrate-recognition pathway of dam methyltransferase. *Cell*, 121:349–361, 05 2005.
- [41] Kirsten Liebert, Andrea Hermann, Martina Schlick-enrieder, and Albert Jeltsch. Stopped-flow and mutational analysis of base flipping by the escherichia coli dam dna-(adenine-n6)-methyltransferase. *J Mol Biol.*, 341:443–454, 08 2004.
- [42] Michael-Florian Szalay, Blanka Majchrzycka, Ivana Jerković, Giacomo Cavalli, and Daniel M Ibrahim. Evolution and function of chromatin domains across the tree of life. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*, 31:1824–1837, 11 2024.
- [43] Yalan Sheng, Yuanyuan Wang, Wentao Yang, Xue Qing Wang, Jiuwei Lu, Bo Pan, Bei Nan, Yongqiang Liu, Fei Ye, Chun Li, Jikui Song, Yali Dou, Shan Gao, and Yifan Liu. Semiconservative transmission of dna-n⁶-adenine methylation in a unicellular eukaryote. *Genome Res.*, 34:740–756, 05 2024.
- [44] Jason Gorman, Aaron J Plys, Mari-Liis Visnapuu, Eric Alani, and Eric C Greene. Visualizing one-dimensional diffusion of eukaryotic dna repair factors along a chromatin lattice. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*, 17:932–938, 07 2010.
- [45] Stephanie R. Coffin and Norbert O. Reich. Modulation of escherichia coli dna methyltransferase activity

- by biologically derived gatc-flanking sequences. J Biol Chem, 283:20106–20116, 05 2008.
- [46] C. Stephens, A. Reisenauer, R. Wright, and L. Shapiro. A cell cycle-regulated bacterial dna methyltransferase is essential for viability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A., 93:1210–1214, 02 1996.
- [47] Vincent K. Shier, Carey J. Hancey, and Stephen J. Benkovic. Identification of the active oligomeric state of an essential adenine dna methyltransferase from-caulobacter crescentus. J Biol Chem., 276:14744–14751, 02 2001.
- [48] John R. Horton, Clayton B. Woodcock, Sifa B. Opot, Norbert O. Reich, Xing Zhang, and Xiaodong Cheng. The cell cycle-regulated dna adenine methyltransferase ccrm opens a bubble at its dna recognition site. Nat Commun., 10, 10 2019.
- [49] Johannes A.H. Maier, Razvan F. Albu, Tomasz P. Jurkowski, and Albert Jeltsch. Investigation of the c-terminal domain of the bacterial dna-(adenine n6)-methyltransferase ccrm. Biochimie, 119:60–67, 12 2015.
- [50] Norbert O. Reich, Eric Dang, Martin Kurnik, Sarath Pathuri, and Clayton B. Woodcock. The highly specific, cell cycle-regulated methyltransferase from-caulobacter crescentus relies on a novel dna recognition mechanism. J Biol Chem., 293:19038–19046, 10 2018.
- [51] Lynn M Powell, Bernard A Connolly, and David T.F Dryden. The dna binding characteristics of the trimeric ecoki methyltransferase and its partially assembled dimeric form determined by fluorescence polarisation and dna footprinting. J Mol Biol., 283:947–961, 11 1998.
- [52] Christian Quast, Elmar Pruesse, Pelin Yilmaz, Jan Gerken, Timmy Schweer, Pablo Yarza, Jörg Peplies, and Frank Oliver Glöckner. The silva ribosomal rna gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res., 41:D590–D596, 11 2012.
- [53] Eric W Sayers, Evan E Bolton, and J Rodney. et a. Brister. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. Nucleic Acids Res., 50:D20–D26, 01 2022.
- [54] Koichiro Tamura, Glen Stecher, Daniel Peterson, Alan Filipski, and Sudhir Kumar. Mega6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol., 30:2725–2729, 10 2013.
- [55] Pohao Ye, Yizhao Luan, Kaining Chen, Yizhi Liu, Chuanle Xiao, and Zhi Xie. Methsmrt: an integrative database for dna n6-methyladenine and n4-methylcytosine generated by single-molecular real-time sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res., 45:D85–D89, 10 2016.
- [56] Chao Zhou, Changshi Wang, Hongbo Liu, Qiangwei Zhou, Qian Liu, Yan Guo, Ting Peng, Jiaming Song, Jianwei Zhang, Lingling Chen, Yu Zhao, Zhixiong Zeng, and Dao-Xiu Zhou. Identification and analysis of adenine n6-methylation sites in the rice genome. Nat Plants, 4:554–563, 08 2018.
- [57] Yuanyuan Wang, Xiao Chen, Yalan Sheng, Yifan Liu, and Shan Gao. N6-adenine dna methylation is associated with the linker dna of h2a.z-containing well-positioned nucleosomes in pol ii-transcribed genes in tetrahymena. Nucleic Acids Res., 45:11594–11606, 09 2017.
- [58] W. Li and A. Godzik. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics, 22:1658–1659, 05 2006.
- [59] Timothy L. Bailey and Charles Peter Elkan. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymer. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol., 2:28–36, 1994.
- [60] R. Agrawal, R. Srikant. Fast algorithms for mining association rules in large databases. page 487–499, 09.
- [61] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. Neural Comput., 9:1735–1780, 11 1997.


Supplementary Figures




Supp. Fig. 1: **Cross-species validation thermodynamic heatmap for LSTM model performance using cleaned datasets.** This figure presents the thermodynamic heatmap showing the accuracy (ACC) of LSTM models trained on datasets from 63 species. The heatmap was generated using hold-out positive samples, with the datasets constructed by removing false negative samples (defined as negative samples containing motifs that have a distribution ratio (p(pos)) exceeding 0.80 in positive samples) and randomly selecting an equal number of negative samples without these motifs to combine with the original positive samples. The color gradient represents the ACC value, where darker blue indicates higher accuracy.




Supp. Fig. 2: Auxiliary nucleotide context map for the core motif GATC recognized by the adenine methyltransferase (EcoDam) in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655. This figure presents the auxiliary nucleotide context map of the core motif GATC, which is recognized by the adenine methyltransferase EcoDam in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655. The GATC motif is shown in the central part of the image, with data collected and organized based on nucleotide sequence information related to EcoDam methylation activity. This provides valuable insights into the sequence features surrounding the GATC motif.



Supp. Fig. 3: **Core Motifs GAT and ATC and Auxiliary Nucleotides in *T. thermophila*.** This figure illustrates the core motifs GAT and ATC recognized by adenine methyltransferases in *T. thermophila*, along with their associated auxiliary nucleotides. The central part of the image highlights the core GAT and ATC motifs. This data provides valuable insights into the evolutionary path of the GATC motif and supports the potential for the conserved and persistent role of auxiliary nucleotides in the recognition process.



Supp. Fig. 4: **Phylogenetic Tree-Based Evolutionary Analysis of GATC Motifs Recognized by 6mA MTases.** This figure presents a phylogenetic tree representing the evolutionary relationships of 19 prokaryotic species based on the GATC motif recognized by 6mA MTases. The tree highlights the core GATC motif and, combining sequence information from the REBASE database with the near-source GATC motif data we discovered, the analysis reveals that these motifs are highly conserved across species. The four underlined species are shown to recognize motifs containing GATC, and based on this data and findings from Supplementary Figure 3, an evolutionary trajectory of the GATC motif is proposed: GAT or ATC → GATC → GATCC, further evolving into longer recognition sequences. This analysis provides insight into the adaptive evolutionary trends of the GATC motif across different prokaryotic lineages and reveals the potential process of functional divergence in recognition motifs.



Supp. Fig. 5: **Phylogenetic Tree Analysis of TTAA Evolution Across Nine Species.** This figure presents a phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary relationships of nine species based on the recognition of the TTAA motif by adenine methyltransferases. The tree highlights the variation in the TTAA motif across different species. The evolutionary relationships are illustrated with the core motif ATTAAT and its variations, including the position shift of the AATT motif in some species. The tree also reveals the divergence in motif recognition between species, providing insights into the evolution of DNA methylation and motif specificity in these organisms.

GTAGCACCGTCCGGGGTAGCACGCCAGGTTAGCATTCCAC ----- G19C20A21C22.....G29T30T31(1.0/0.187) A6.....G19.A21C22.....G29T30(1.0/0.068) G19C20A21.....G29T30T31(0.998/0.188)
 AAAAAGTGGTTATTAGCTGCAGGTCTCGGTTTAGCACTGGC ----- C17.G19C20A21.....G29T30T31(1.0/0.0813) G19C20A21.....G29T30T31...C35(1.0/0.064) G19.A21.....C27.G29T30T31(0.9945/ 0.063)
 ATCTGTCGCCAGTACCGGCGAGGCATCAGTTACCGTGGCAC ----- G19.A21.....C27.G29T30T31(0.995/0.063) C10.....G19.A21.....G29T30(0.968/0.069) G19.A21.G23.....G29T30(0.962/0.066)
 TTTGCGTTGTTCTCGTCCGGCGAGGTAACATTATTTCCCTCC ----- G19C20A21.....C27..T30(0.950/ 0.069) G19C20A21.G23.....T30(0.940/0.062) A21G22G23..C27(0.92/ 0.096) A21.G23T24..C27(0.912/0.116)
 CGACACCTTCAATACCGAGCGAGTCAAGTATTAAAGGGC ----- G19C20A21...G26..G29T30(0.99/0.065) G19.A21.....G29T30..T33(0.976/0.068) G19.A21.....G29T30.....A36(0.972/0.068)
 TCAGAAATCGGTTCTGAAGGACGTAACCTCCAGTTCCGCCAG ----- G19.A21C22...C27(0.913/0.090) A21.G23T24..C27(0.912/0.116) G20A21.G23T24(0.906/0.105)
 AGGCCAACGCGTGACACCGGACGTCCCACTGGCGGAACCAC ----- G19.A21C22..C25...T30(0.99/0.065) G19.A21C22.....T30...G35(0.984/0.061) G19.A21C22...C27..T30(0.983/0.078)

Supp. Fig. 6: Sequence Characteristics of the M.EcoKI (Lacking AACNNNNNGTGC/GCACNNNNNGTT) Methylation Sites in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 Substr. MG1655. This figure illustrates the sequence characteristics of the M.EcoKI methylation sites in *Escherichia coli* str. K-12 substr. MG1655, focusing on sequences that lack the GCA or GNAC motifs. The methylation status of these sites is shown, with the corresponding P(pos) and support values provided in parentheses. The highlighted regions indicate the key methylated sites and their sequence contexts, which contribute to the understanding of the methylation behavior of M.EcoKI in this bacterial strain.