Towards Mac Lane's Comparison Theorem for the (co)Kleisli Construction in Coq

Burak Ekici University of Innsbruck Innsbruck, Austria burak.ekici@uibk.ac.at

Abstract

This short paper summarizes an ongoing work on the formalization of Mac Lane's comparison theorem for the (co)Kleisli construction in the Coq proof assistant.

1 Adjoint Functors and Monads

Definition 1.1. Let C and D be two categories. A hom-adjunction $F \dashv G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a triple $\langle F, G, \varphi \rangle$ such that $F: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}, G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ are functors and $\varphi = (\varphi_{X,A})_{X,A}$ is a family of bijections, natural in X and A, where X is an object of $\mathscr C$ and A is an object of $\mathscr D$:

$$
\varphi_{X,\,A} \colon Hom_{\mathscr{D}}(FX,\,A) \xrightarrow{\cong} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X,\,GA) \tag{1}
$$

Let $F \dashv G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ be a hom-adjunction. By instantiating $A = FX$ in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) we obtain $\eta_X: X \to GFX$ in \mathscr{C} which is the image of id_{FX} by $\varphi_{X,FX}$. Symmetrically, by setting $X = GA$, we obtain $\varepsilon_A: FGA \to A$ in \mathscr{D} which is the image of id_{GA} by $\varphi_{GA,A}^{-1}$. As shown in [\[ML71,](#page--1-0) Ch. IV, §1], $\eta: Id_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow GF$ and $\varepsilon: FG \Rightarrow Id_{\mathscr{D}}$ are natural transformations. This gives us the following proposition by [\[ML71,](#page--1-0) Ch. VI, §1] and [ML71, Ch. IV, §1, Theorem 1].

Proposition 1.2. A hom-adjunction $F \dashv G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$, with associated family of bijections φ as in Definition [1.1](#page-0-1), determines a monad on $\mathscr C$ and a comonad on $\mathscr D$ as follows:

- The monad (T, η, μ) on C has endofunctor $T = GF \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$, unit $\eta \colon Id_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow T$ where $\eta_X = \varphi_X \cdot F_X(id_F_X)$ and multiplication $\mu: T^2 \Rightarrow T$ such that $\mu_X = G(\varepsilon_{FX})$.
- The comonad (D, ε, δ) on $\mathscr D$ has endofunctor $D = FG \colon \mathscr D \to \mathscr D$, counit $\varepsilon \colon D \Rightarrow Id_{\mathscr D}$ where $\varepsilon_A =$ $\varphi_{GA,A}^{-1}(id_{GA})$ and comultiplication $\delta: D \Rightarrow D^2$ such that $\delta_A = F(\eta_{GA})$.

In addition, we have:

$$
\varphi_{X, A} f = G f \circ \eta_X : X \to GA \text{ for each } f : FX \to A
$$
\n⁽²⁾

$$
\varphi_{X,A}^{-1}g = \varepsilon_A \circ Fg \colon FX \to A \text{ for each } g \colon X \to GA. \tag{3}
$$

Proposition 1.3. Each monad (T, η, μ) on a category \mathscr{C} determines a Kleisli category \mathscr{C}_T and an associated hom-adjunction $F_T \dashv G_T : \mathscr{C}_T \to \mathscr{C}$ as follows:

Copyright \odot by the paper's authors. Copying permitted for private and academic purposes.

In: O. Hasan, C. Kaliszyk, A. Naumowicz (eds.): Proceedings of the Workshop Formal Mathematics for Mathematicians (FMM), Hagenberg, Austria, 13-Aug-2018, published at http://ceur-ws.org

We give an overview below, please find the related details in [\[ML71,](#page-4-0) Ch. VI, §5].

• The categories $\mathscr C$ and $\mathscr C_T$ have the same objects and there is a morphism $f^{\flat} \colon X \to Y$ in $\mathscr C_T$ for each morphism $f: X \to TY$ in \mathscr{C} . So that there is a bijection defined as:

$$
(\varphi_T)_{X,Y} : Hom_{\mathscr{C}_T}(X,Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X,TY)
$$

$$
f^{\flat} \leftarrow f
$$

- For each object X in \mathcal{C}_T , the identity arrow is $id_X = h^{\flat} : X \to X$ in \mathcal{C}_T where $h = \eta_X : X \to TX$ in \mathcal{C} .
- The composition of a pair of morphisms f^{\flat} : $X \to Y$ and g^{\flat} : $Y \to Z$ in \mathscr{C}_T is given by the Kleisli composition: $g^{\flat} \circ f^{\flat} = h^{\flat} \colon X \to Z$ where $h = \mu_Z \circ Tg \circ f \colon X \to TZ$ in \mathscr{C} .
- The functor $F_T: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}_T$ is the identity on objects. On morphisms,

$$
F_T f = (\eta_Y \circ f)^\flat, \text{ for each } f \colon X \to Y \text{ in } \mathscr{C}. \tag{4}
$$

• The functor $G_T: \mathscr{C}_T \to \mathscr{C}$ maps each object X in \mathscr{C}_T to TX in \mathscr{C} . On morphisms,

$$
G_T(g^{\flat}) = \mu_Y \circ Tg, \text{ for each } g^{\flat} : X \to Y \text{ in } \mathscr{C}_T. \tag{5}
$$

Theorem 1.4. (The comparison theorem for the Kleisli construction) Let $F \dashv G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ be a hom-adjunction and let (T, η, μ) be the associated monad on C. Then, there is a unique comparison functor $L: \mathscr{C}_T \to \mathscr{D}$ such that $GL = G_T$ and $LF_T = F$, where \mathcal{C}_T is the Kleisli category of (T, η, μ) , with the associated hom-adjunction $F_T \dashv G_T : \mathscr{C}_T \to \mathscr{C}$.

Proof. Let us first assume that $L: \mathcal{C}_T \to \mathcal{D}$ is a functor satisfying $GL = G_T$ and $LF_T = F$. And, let $\theta_{X,Y}$: $Hom_{\mathscr{C}_T}(F_T X, Y) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, G_T Y)$ be a bijection associated to the hom-adjunction $F_T \dashv G_T$. Similarly, let $\psi_{X,Y}$: $Hom_{\mathscr{D}}(FX, Y) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, GY)$ be a bijection associated to the hom-adjunction $F \dashv G$. Since both units of $F_T \dashv G_T$ and $F \dashv G$ are the unit η of the monad (T, η, μ) by [\[ML71,](#page-4-0) Ch. IV, §7, Proposition 1], we obtain the commutative diagram below:

$$
Hom_{\mathscr{C}_T}(F_T X, Y) \xrightarrow{\theta_{X,Y}} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, G_T Y)
$$

\n
$$
L_{F_T X, Y} \downarrow = \qquad \qquad \downarrow id_{X, G_T Y}
$$

\n
$$
Hom_{\mathscr{D}}(LF_T X, LY) \xrightarrow{\psi_{X, LY}} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, G_T Y)
$$

\n
$$
\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$

\n
$$
Hom_{\mathscr{D}}(FX, LY) \xrightarrow{\psi_{X, LY}} Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, GLY)
$$

Therefore, $L_{F_T X, Y} = \psi_{X, LY}^{-1} \circ \theta_{X, Y}$. This formula ensures that the functor L is unique. Using the Equa-tion [\(2\)](#page--1-1) in Proposition [1.2,](#page--1-2) we have: $\theta_{X,Y} f^{\flat} = G_T f^{\flat} \circ \eta_X : X \to G_T Y$, for each $f^{\flat} : F_T X = X \to Y$ in \mathscr{C}_T . Since $G_T f^{\flat} = \mu_Y \circ Tf$ in \mathscr{C} , for each $f^{\flat} \colon X \to Y$ in \mathscr{C}_T , by Equation [\(5\)](#page-1-0), we have $\theta_{X,Y} f^{\flat} = \mu_Y \circ Tf \circ \eta_X \colon X \to Y$ $G_T F_T Y = G_T Y$. Thanks to the naturality of η , we get $\theta_{X,Y} f^{\flat} = \mu_Y \circ \eta_{TY} \circ f$. The monadic axiom $\mu_Y \circ \eta_{TY} = id_{TY}$ yields $\theta_{X,Y} f^{\flat} = f: X \to G_T Y$. Presumed that $G_T = GL$ and since F_T is the identity on objects, we have $\theta_{X,Y}f^{\flat} = f: X \to GLY$ and $LF_TY = LY = FY$. Now, by Equation [\(3\)](#page--1-3) in Propo-sition [1.2,](#page--1-2) we obtain $\psi_{X,LY}^{-1}f = \varepsilon_{LY} \circ Ff = \varepsilon_{FY} \circ Ff = \psi_{X,FY}^{-1}f$ for each $f: X \to GFY$ in \mathscr{C} . Hence $\psi_{X,LY}^{-1}(\theta_{X,Y}f^{\flat}) = \psi_{X,FY}^{-1}f = \varepsilon_{FY} \circ Ff.$

In other words: given a functor L satisfying $GL = G_T$ and $LF_T = F$, then it must be such that $LX = FX$ for each object X in \mathscr{C}_T and $Lf^{\flat} = \varepsilon_{FY} \circ Ff$ in \mathscr{D} for each $f^{\flat} \colon X \to Y$ in \mathscr{C}_T . We additionally need to prove that $L: C_T \to \mathscr{D}$, characterized by $LX = X$ and $Lf^{\flat} = \varepsilon_Y \circ Ff$, is a functor satisfying $GL = G_T$ and $LF_T = F$:

- 1. For each X in \mathscr{C}_T , due to the fact that $id_X = (\eta_X)^{\flat}$ in \mathscr{C}_T , we have $L(id_X) = L((\eta_X)^{\flat}) = \varepsilon_{FX} \circ F\eta_X$. By [\[ML71,](#page-4-0) Ch. IV, §1, Theorem 1], we get $\varepsilon_{FX} \circ F\eta_X = id_{FX} = id_{LX}$. For each pair of morphisms $f^{\flat} \colon X \to Y$ and $g^{\flat} \colon Y \to Z$ in \mathscr{C}_T , by Kleisli composition, we obtain $L(g^{\flat} \circ f^{\flat}) = \varepsilon_{FZ} \circ FG \varepsilon_{FZ} \circ FG F g \circ F f$. Since ε is natural, we have $\varepsilon_{FZ} \circ Fg \circ \varepsilon_{FY} \circ Ff$ which is $L(g^{\flat}) \circ L(f^{\flat})$ in \mathscr{D} . Hence $L: \mathscr{C}_T \to \mathscr{D}$ is a functor.
- 2. For each object X in \mathcal{C}_T , $LX = FX$ in \mathcal{D} and $GLX = GFX = TX = G_TX$ in \mathcal{C} . For each morphism $f^{\flat} \colon X \to Y$ in \mathscr{C}_T , $Lf^{\flat} = \varepsilon_{FY} \circ Ff$ in D by definition. Hence, $GLf^{\flat} = G\varepsilon_{FY} \circ GFf$. Similarly, Equation [\(5\)](#page-1-0) gives $G_T f^{\flat} = G \varepsilon_{FY} \circ GFf$. We get $GLf^{\flat} = G_T f^{\flat}$ for each mapping f^{\flat} . Thus $GL = G_T$.
- 3. F_T is the identity on objects, thus $LF_T X = LX = FX$. For each morphism $f: X \to Y$ in \mathscr{C} , we have $F_T f = (\eta_Y \circ f)^\flat$ in \mathscr{C}_T , by definition. So that $L F_T f = L(\eta_Y \circ f)^\flat = \varepsilon_{FY} \circ F \eta_Y \circ F f$. Due to ε and η being natural, we have $\varepsilon_{FY} \circ F \eta_Y = id_{FY}$ yielding $LF_T f = Ff$ for each mapping f. Therefore $LF_T = F$. \Box

A specialized version of the theorem is used by the author to model some formal logics in order to handle computational side effects in his thesis [\[Eki15\]](#page-4-1). An alternative definition of adjunctions is given in the following.

Definition 1.5. Let C and D be two categories. The functors $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ form an adjunction $F \dashv G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ iff there exists natural transformations $\eta: Id_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow GF$ and $\varepsilon: FG \Rightarrow Id_{\mathscr{D}}$ such that:

$$
\varepsilon_{FX} \circ F\eta_X = id_{FX} \text{ for each } X \text{ in } \mathscr{C}
$$
 (6)

$$
G\varepsilon_X \circ \eta_{GX} = id_{GX} \text{ for each } X \text{ in } \mathcal{D}
$$
\n
$$
(7)
$$

Lemma 1.6. Definition [1.5](#page-2-0) \iff Definition [1.1.](#page--1-4) See the proof in [\[Hen08,](#page-4-2) §3, Theorem 3.5].

2 Coq formalization

In a Coq implementation^{[1](#page-2-1)}, we represent category theoretical objects such as functors, natural transformations, monads and adjunctions with data structures having single constructors and several fields, namely classes. This is no different than the approaches by Gross et al. [\[GCS14\]](#page-4-3), Timany et al. $[TJ16]^2$ $[TJ16]^2$ $[TJ16]^2$ and John Wiegley^{[3](#page-2-3)}. To our knowledge, none of them include the formalization of Mac Lane's comparison theorem. Also, our formalization makes use of proof irrelevance and functional extensionality axioms. These being said, let us start with the formalization of Definition [1.1:](#page--1-4)

Class HomAdjunction {C D: Category} (F: Functor D C) (G: Functor C D): Type \triangleq mk_Homadj { ob: @Isomorphism (FunctorCategory (D^op × C) CoqCatT) (BiHomFunctorC F G) (BiHomFunctorD F G) }.

An instance of the HomAdjunction class is defined as an isomorphism of bifunctors in the category of functors. In the above snippet, the notation D^ op denotes the dual of the category D, and CoqCatT represents the category of Sets. BiHomFunctorC implements the hom-functor $Hom_{\mathscr{C}}(X, GA)$ while BiHomFunctorD stands for the functor $Hom_{\mathscr{D}}(FX, A)$ in [\(1\)](#page--1-5). On the other hand, Definition [1.5](#page-2-0) looks like:

```
Class Adjunction {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (G: Functor D C): Type \triangleq mk_Adj
  { unit : NaturalTransformation (@Id catC) (Compose_Functors F G);
      counit: NaturalTransformation (Compose_Functors G F) (@Id D);
      ob1 : ∀ a, (trans counit (fobj F a)) o fmap F (trans unit a) = @identity D (fobj F a);<br>ob2 : ∀ a. (fmap G (trans counit a)) o trans unit (fobj G a) = @identity C (fobj G a)
             ob2 : ∀ a, (fmap G (trans counit a)) o trans unit (fobj G a) = @identity C (fobj G a) }.
```
¹<https://github.com/ekiciburak/ComparisonTheorem-MacLane>

²<https://github.com/amintimany/Categories>

³<https://github.com/jwiegley/category-theory>

where unit and counit correspond to η and ε as well as proof obligations ob1 and ob2 implement Equations [\(6\)](#page-2-4) and [\(7\)](#page-2-5) respectively. This means that to build an adjunction out of given categories and functors, one needs to provide two natural transformations satisfying the obligations. In the script, fmap is a field of the Functor type class that maps arrows while fobj is another field of the same class mapping objects of a domain category; trans is a filed of the NaturalTransformation class representing the component of the natural transformation at a given object. Id is the identity functor.

Formalizing in Coq Propositions [1.2,](#page--1-2) [1.3](#page--1-6) and Theorem [1.4,](#page-1-1) we use Adjunction class instances instead of the ones of HomAdjunction. This is indeed not a problem thanks to Lemma [1.6.](#page-2-6) We have it certified in Coq:

Lemma adjEq1: ∀ (C D: Category) (F: Functor C D) (U: Functor D C), Adjunction F U → HomAdjunction F U. Lemma adjEq2: \forall (C D: Category) (F: Functor C D) (U: Functor D C), HomAdjunction F U \rightarrow Adjunction F U.

We move on with the formalization of Proposition [1.2:](#page--1-2)

Theorem adj_mon : ∀ {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (U: Functor D C), Adjunction F U → Monad C (Compose_Functors F U). Theorem adj_comon: ∀ {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (U: Functor D C), Adjunction F U → coMonad D (Compose_Functors U F).

See Adjunctions.v file for the proofs of so far stated theorems/lemmas. We implement Proposition [1.3](#page--1-6) in three steps starting with the fact that every monad gives raise to a Kleisli Category whose objects are the ones of the base category C and morphisms are of the form f^* : $b \to T a$ for each $f: b \to a$ in C. Notice also that, nothing more than a design criteria, @arrow C a b implements a Coq type of maps defined from b to a in the category C:

```
Definition Kleisli_Category (C: Category) (T: Functor C C) (M: Monad C T): Category.
Proof. unshelve econstructor.
       - exact (@obj C).
       - intros a b. exact (@arrow C (fobj T a) b).
       ...
Defined.
```
Once obtaining this category, we can then claim that there is a special adjunction, namely Kleisli adjunction, between the base category C and the Kleisli Category. We implement the candidate adjoint functors as in Equations [\(4\)](#page-1-2) and [\(5\)](#page-1-0). Below, we only show the way they map objects and arrows respectively.

```
Definition LA {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (G: Functor D C) (T \triangleq Compose Functors F G) (M: Monad C T)
  (CT \triangleq (Kleisli_CtargetCary C T M)): Functor C CT.
Proof. unshelve econstructor; simpl.
        - exact id.
       - intros a b f. exact (trans b o f).
        ...
Defined.
Definition RA {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (G: Functor D C) (T \triangleq Compose_Functors F G) (M: Monad C T)
 (CT \triangleq (Kleisli Category C T M)): Functor CT C.
Proof. unshelve econstructor; simpl.
        - exact (fobj T).
       - intros a b g. exact (trans b o fmap T g).
        ...
Defined.
```
Above three definitions are implemented in the source Monads.v. We then prove that these candidate functors do actually form an adjunction:

Theorem mon_kladj: ∀ {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (G: Functor D C) (T \triangleq Compose_Functors F G) (M: Monad C T) (FT \triangleq LA F G M) (GT \triangleq RA F G M), Adjunction FT GT.

Now, we can state Theorem [1.4](#page-1-1) in Coq.

```
Theorem ComparisonMacLane: ∀ {C D: Category} (F: Functor C D) (G: Functor D C) (A1: Adjunction F G),
  let M \triangleq (@adj_mon C D F G A1) in let CT \triangleq (Kleisli_Category C (Compose_Functors F G) M) in
 let FT \triangleq (LA F G M) in let GT \triangleq (RA F G M) in let A2 \triangleq (mon_kladj F G M) in
     ∃ L: Functor CT D, Compose_Functors FT L = F ∧ Compose_Functors L G = GT.
```
Notice that proving this statement, we only get the existence of a comparison functor L satisfying the given properties but not that it is unique. Unicity proof is actually the work in progress. Also, we have formalized the dual of this theorem again leaving the unicity proof of comparison functor aside. See Coq proofs of two theorems above and the dual of the comparison theorem in the source Adjunctions.v.

3 Conclusion

We have formalized in Coq the comparison theorem without proving the uniqueness of comparison functor L. This uniqueness (also for the dual case) is the next property in our queue to formalize. Once getting these done, we plan to continue with implementing in Coq the proof of Beck's theorem which is a variant of comparison theorem where \mathscr{C}_T being the Eilenberg-Moore category of algebras of the monad T. The theorem claims that the comparison functor L is now an isomorphism.

3.1 Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant P26201, the European Research Council (ERC) grant no. 714034 SMART.

References

- [Eki15] Burak Ekici. Certifications of programs with computational effects (Certification de programmes avec des effets calculatoires). PhD thesis, Grenoble Alpes University, France, December 2015.
- [GCS14] Jason Gross, Adam Chlipala, and David I. Spivak. Experience implementing a performant categorytheory library in Coq. In Proceedings of the 5th ITP, Vienna, Austria, July 2014.
- [Hen08] Christopher Henderson. Generalized abstract nonsense: Category theory and adjunctions. Technical report, University of Chicago, 2008.
- [ML71] Saunders Mac Lane. Categories for the Working Mathematician. Number 5 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [TJ16] Amin Timany and Bart Jacobs. Category theory in Coq 8.5. In Proceedings of the 1st FSCD, Porto, Portugal, pages 30:1–30:18, June 2016.